

Document Tabled by Cr Majdlik at Special Council meeting Monday, 11 July 2016

Council's submission must emphasise:

- a. Council refuses the application on the basis of the current ongoing odours that continue to come from the site and the ongoing community concerns of the detrimental and negative health affects of its community and its residents.
- b. The lack of openness and transparency to the community and its residents of the current site in decommissioning the 'Cleanaway Community Consultative Committee'.
- c. The landfill expansion has the potential to impact on the viability of the land within the new and future PSP's and jeopardizes the future implementation of the plan development.
- d. On page 25-Alternative/Additional Sites – to include in the recommendation '*or expansion*' after the wording landfill.
- e. Increase the buffer from the Caroline Springs boundary to at least 3km to reflect the boundary changes made to that of the Burnside, Deer Park and Ravenhall buffers.
- f. That Cleanaway immediately reinstate the 'Cleanaway Consultative Committee' and include community members, Council representatives and other relevant stakeholders to ensure transparency and accountability to the community.
- g. Request that Cleanaway include a detailed plan for the next 5-10 years in providing sustainable solutions for the collection, disposal and resource recovery from its waste collection – which coincides with Council's 2011-2016 'It Starts with Zero' waste management strategy.
- h. Include a detailed report relating to the implementation of the rehabilitation plan (outlined in page 16 of the officers report), including the costs involved with rehabilitation once the site has been decommissioned as well as the responsible stakeholders for the cost of the rehabilitation of the site (side note; we do not want this infected waste site be handed over to Council to have to clean up).
- i. That Landfill Ops discloses the outcomes from the landfill Gas Management Plan for openness and transparency.
- j. Traffic is a major concern with the area at its current site and Council does not believe that the current road network will cater for all the extra volume of traffic.
- k. That the Minister initialises an 'independent' study to ensure that all the environmental, social, economic and health effects of this application have been considered thoroughly and that this report be available to our residents.
- l. Include that Council does not support the State Government when highlighting in its Statewide Waste and Resource Recovery Infrastructure Plan Victoria 2015-44 as well as the 2015 MWRRIP, and formally requests that the Minister and State Government rescind the Truganina site as a major regional landfill for future expansion and that the landfill site ceases to operate once the current permit has

Item 3.1 Planning Application PA 2016/5118 - Extension of the
Melbourne Regional Landfill At 408-546 Hopkins Road,
Truganina

Appendix 2 Document Tabled by Cr Majdlik

expired – and instead propose different sites to cater for a fairer distribution of landfill sites across Melbourne.

- m. That the Minister support's the waste industry to find alternative methods of waste disposal other than the traditional exposed landfill sites.
- n. That Council delegates and authorises the CEO to ensure that the appropriate resources are allocated for legal advice, representation of Council's views and assistance to the submission of the directions hearing as well as any assistance required for the submission of the application to the panel, to appropriately represent the resolution and decision of Council.

And Council to delete from the report the following point:

- a. Under 'Landscaping of the mounds and reducing visual impact' remove Section 2- and add –any future landfill must eliminate landfill mounds above ground level to preserve any significant view lines of Mt. Atkinson, Mt Cottrell and Melbourne CBD (side note: as this is not consistent with quarry rehabilitation).